



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,211	04/21/2004	Fei Ge	50277-2433	9360

42425 7590 10/16/2006

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER/ORACLE
2055 GATEWAY PLACE
SUITE 550
SAN JOSE, CA 95110-1089

EXAMINER

MORRISON, JAY A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2168

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/830,211

Applicant(s)

GE ET AL.

Examiner

Jay A. Morrison

Art Unit

2168

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 April 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/26/04.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-48 are pending.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 38 and 39 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. As per claim 38, line 2: "statistics about XML resource" should be "statistics about XML resources".
 - b. As per claim 39, line 2: "as XML data type" should be "as XML data types".Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
4. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "said operator" in lines 3-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "said operator" in lines 3-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim1-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abounaga et al. ('Abounaga' hereinafter) ("Building XML statistics for the hidden web", by Ashraf Abounaga and Jeffrey F. Naughton, Proceedings of the 28th VLDB Conference, Hong Kong, China, 2002) in view of Chaudhuri et al. ('Chaudhuri' hereinafter) (Publication Number 2004/0236762).

As per claim 1, Abounaga teaches

A method comprising the computer-implemented steps of: (see abstract)

gathering statistics about XML resources that are stored in a ... repository;

(building statistics for XML data, section 1.2)

storing said statistics; (using statistics in future means they must be stored, section 1.2)

"and in response to a request for access to one or more XML resources from said ... repository, computing a computational cost associated with each of one or more methods of accessing said one or more XML resources from said ... repository, based on said statistics." (use statistics about past queries to selectivity of future queries, section 1.2; statistics used in estimating the selectivity of future Xpath queries, abstract)

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate "database".

However, Chaudhuri discloses "database" (database system, paragraph [0030]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of "database" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by allowing data to be stored and accessed on a computer. This gives the user the advantage of having a non-volatile source of data.

As per claim 2, Abounaga teaches

said XML resources are logically organized in a hierarchy of nodes in which each node is either a container or a resource (section 3.1)

Aboulnaga does not explicitly indicate "and wherein the step of gathering statistics comprises gathering one or more data from a group consisting of a total number of nodes in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through a specified node, a total number of containers in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node, a total number of nodes in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node and that are in a level of said hierarchy that is immediately under a level of said specified node, a total number of containers in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node and that are in a level of said hierarchy that is immediately under said level of said specified node, and a number of levels from a root node of said hierarchy, at which said specified node is organized in said hierarchy."

However, Chaudhuri discloses "and wherein the step of gathering statistics comprises gathering one or more data from a group consisting of a total number of nodes in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through a specified node, a total number of containers in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node, a total number of nodes in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node and that are in a level of said hierarchy that is immediately under a level of said specified node, a total number of containers in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node and that are in a level of said hierarchy that is immediately under said level of said specified node, and a number of

levels from a root node of said hierarchy, at which said specified node is organized in said hierarchy" (paragraph [0028]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of "and wherein the step of gathering statistics comprises gathering one or more data from a group consisting of a total number of nodes in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through a specified node, a total number of containers in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node, a total number of nodes in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node and that are in a level of said hierarchy that is immediately under a level of said specified node, a total number of containers in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node and that are in a level of said hierarchy that is immediately under said level of said specified node, and a number of levels from a root node of said hierarchy, at which said specified node is organized in said hierarchy" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by improving the quality of execution plans. This gives the user the advantage of faster and more efficient queries.

As per claim 3, Abounaga teaches

said XML resources are logically organized in a hierarchy of nodes in which each node is either a container or a resource (section 3.1)

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate "and wherein the step of gathering statistics comprises gathering each of a total number of nodes in said hierarchy that are

Art Unit: 2168

accessible via a path through a specified node, a total number of containers in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node, a total number of nodes in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node and that are in a level of said hierarchy that is immediately under a level of said specified node, a total number of containers in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node and that are in a level of said hierarchy that is immediately under said level of said specified node, and a number of levels from a root node of said hierarchy, at which said specified node is organized in said hierarchy."

However, Chaudhuri discloses "and wherein the step of gathering statistics comprises gathering each of a total number of nodes in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through a specified node, a total number of containers in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node, a total number of nodes in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node and that are in a level of said hierarchy that is immediately under a level of said specified node, a total number of containers in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node and that are in a level of said hierarchy that is immediately under said level of said specified node, and a number of levels from a root node of said hierarchy, at which said specified node is organized in said hierarchy" (paragraph [0028]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Aboulnaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of "and wherein the step of gathering statistics comprises gathering each of a total number

Art Unit: 2168

of nodes in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through a specified node, a total number of containers in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node, a total number of nodes in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node and that are in a level of said hierarchy that is immediately under a level of said specified node, a total number of containers in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node and that are in a level of said hierarchy that is immediately under said level of said specified node, and a number of levels from a root node of said hierarchy, at which said specified node is organized in said hierarchy” would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by improving the quality of execution plans. This gives the user the advantage of faster and more efficient queries.

As per claim 4,

Aboulnaga does not explicitly indicate “the step of storing statistics comprises storing said statistics in a relational table of a database of which said database repository is part.”

However, Chaudhuri discloses “the step of storing statistics comprises storing said statistics in a relational table of a database of which said database repository is part” (paragraph [0028]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Aboulnaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of “the step of storing statistics comprises storing said statistics in a relational table of a

Art Unit: 2168

database of which said database repository is part" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 5, Aboulnaga teaches

XML. (section 1.1)

Aboulnaga does not explicitly indicate "said relational table is a first relational table that is a different table than a second relational table in which said ... resources are stored in said database repository."

However, Chaudhuri discloses "said relational table is a first relational table that is a different table than a second relational table in which said ... resources are stored in said database repository" (paragraph [0035]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Aboulnaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of "said relational table is a first relational table that is a different table than a second relational table in which said ... resources are stored in said database repository" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 6, Aboulnaga teaches

XML. (section 1.1)

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate "said relational table is a relational table in which said ... resources are stored in said database repository."

However, Chaudhuri discloses "said relational table is a relational table in which said ... resources are stored in said database repository" (paragraph [0034]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of "said relational table is a relational table in which said ... resources are stored in said database repository" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 7, Abounaga teaches

XML (section 1.1)

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate "the step of storing statistics comprises storing said statistics in a hierarchical index table in which said ... resources are indexed to said database repository."

However, Chaudhuri discloses "the step of storing statistics comprises storing said statistics in a hierarchical index table in which said ... resources are indexed to said database repository" (paragraphs [0038],[0034],[0035]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of "the step of storing statistics comprises storing said statistics in a hierarchical index

Art Unit: 2168

table in which said ... resources are indexed to said database repository” would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 8,

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate “the step of computing a computational cost comprises computing a selectivity value for each of one or more predicates, from said request, that contain operators on said database repository.”

However, Chaudhuri discloses “the step of computing a computational cost comprises computing a selectivity value for each of one or more predicates, from said request, that contain operators on said database repository” (paragraph [0030]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of “the step of computing a computational cost comprises computing a selectivity value for each of one or more predicates, from said request, that contain operators on said database repository” would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 9, Abounaga teaches

each of said XML resources is logically organized in a hierarchy of nodes and stored, in association with a location of said XML resource in said hierarchy ... XML (abstract; section 1.1)

through a particular specified path through a portion of said hierarchy. (abstract)

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate “in a column of a table in said database repository, and wherein said operator is an operator that determines whether a particular ... resource can be located in said database repository”.

However, Chaudhuri discloses “in a column of a table in said database repository, and wherein said operator is an operator that determines whether a particular ... resource can be located in said database repository” (paragraph [0028]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of “in a column of a table in said database repository, and wherein said operator is an operator that determines whether a particular ... resource can be located in said database repository” would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 10, Abounaga teaches

each of said XML resources is logically organized in a hierarchy of nodes and stored, in association with a location of said XML resource in said hierarchy ... XML. (section 1.1)

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate “in a column of a table in said database repository, and wherein said operator is an operator that determines whether a particular ... resource can be located in said database repository at a terminal location of a particular specified path through a portion of said hierarchy.”

However, Chaudhuri discloses “in a column of a table in said database repository, and wherein said operator is an operator that determines whether a particular ... resource can be located in said database repository at a terminal location of a particular specified path through a portion of said hierarchy” (paragraphs [0038],[0034],[0035]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of “in a column of a table in said database repository, and wherein said operator is an operator that determines whether a particular ... resource can be located in said database repository at a terminal location of a particular specified path through a portion of said hierarchy” would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 11, Abounaga teaches
the step of computing a computational cost comprises computing a computational cost of traversing, to locate a particular XML resource specified in said request ... XML. (abstract, section 1.1)

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate “an index in which said ... resources are indexed to said database repository.”

However, Chaudhuri discloses “an index in which said ... resources are indexed to said database repository” (paragraph [0034]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of “an index in which said ... resources are indexed to said database repository” would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 12,

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate “computing said computational cost of traversing an index comprises computing a computational cost associated with one or more CPUs used for said traversing.”

However, Chaudhuri discloses “computing said computational cost of traversing an index comprises computing a computational cost associated with one or more CPUs used for said traversing” (paragraphs [0030],[0034]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of “computing said computational cost of traversing an index comprises computing a computational cost associated with one or more CPUs used for said traversing” would

Art Unit: 2168

have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 13,

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate "computing said computational cost of traversing an index comprises computing a computational cost associated with reading data blocks in which portions of said index are stored."

However, Chaudhuri discloses "computing said computational cost of traversing an index comprises computing a computational cost associated with reading data blocks in which portions of said index are stored" (paragraphs [0034],[0035]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of "computing said computational cost of traversing an index comprises computing a computational cost associated with reading data blocks in which portions of said index are stored" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 14,

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate "computing said computational cost of traversing an index comprises computing (a) a computational cost associated with one

Art Unit: 2168

or more CPUs used for said traversing and (b) a computational cost associated with reading data blocks in which portions of said index are stored.”

However, Chaudhuri discloses “computing said computational cost of traversing an index comprises computing (a) a computational cost associated with one or more CPUs used for said traversing and (b) a computational cost associated with reading data blocks in which portions of said index are stored” (paragraphs [0034],[0035]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of “computing said computational cost of traversing an index comprises computing (a) a computational cost associated with one or more CPUs used for said traversing and (b) a computational cost associated with reading data blocks in which portions of said index are stored” would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 15, Abounaga teaches

“XML ... XML” (section 1.1).

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate “the step of computing a computational cost comprises (a) computing a selectivity value for each of one or more predicates, from said request, that contain operators on said database repository and (b) computing a computational cost of traversing, to locate a particular ... resource specified in said request, an index in which said ... resources are indexed to said database repository.”

However, Chaudhuri discloses “the step of computing a computational cost comprises (a) computing a selectivity value for each of one or more predicates, from said request, that contain operators on said database repository and (b) computing a computational cost of traversing, to locate a particular ... resource specified in said request, an index in which said ... resources are indexed to said database repository” (paragraphs [0038],[0034],[0035]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of “the step of computing a computational cost comprises (a) computing a selectivity value for each of one or more predicates, from said request, that contain operators on said database repository and (b) computing a computational cost of traversing, to locate a particular ... resource specified in said request, an index in which said ... resources are indexed to said database repository” would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 16, Abounaga teaches

“to one or more XML resources” (section 3.1)

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate “said request for access ... from said database repository is a SQL query.”

However, Chaudhuri discloses “said request for access ... from said database repository is a SQL query” (paragraph [0028]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of "said request for access ... from said database repository is a SQL query" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by using a standardized query language for access. This gives the user the advantage of more portability and usability across a multitude of platforms and tools.

As per claim 17, Abounaga teaches

each of said XML resources is logically organized in a hierarchy of nodes and stored, in association with a location of said XML resource in said hierarchy ... XML, (abstract, section 1.1)

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate "in a column of a table in said database repository, and wherein said SQL query comprises a mechanism for providing one possible path through said hierarchy to each of said ... resources."

However, Chaudhuri discloses "in a column of a table in said database repository, and wherein said SQL query comprises a mechanism for providing one possible path through said hierarchy to each of said ... resources" (paragraph [0034],[0035]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of "in a column of a table in said database repository, and wherein said SQL query comprises a mechanism for providing one possible path through said hierarchy to each

Art Unit: 2168

of said ... resources" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 18,

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate "the step of computing a computational cost comprises computing a computational cost component for one or more predicates, from said request, that contain an operator in conjunction with said mechanism acting on said database repository."

However, Chaudhuri discloses "the step of computing a computational cost comprises computing a computational cost component for one or more predicates, from said request, that contain an operator in conjunction with said mechanism acting on said database repository" (paragraphs [0030],[0034],[0035]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of "the step of computing a computational cost comprises computing a computational cost component for one or more predicates, from said request, that contain an operator in conjunction with said mechanism acting on said database repository" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 19, Abounnaga teaches

each of said XML resources is logically organized in a hierarchy of nodes and stored, in association with a location of said XML resource in said hierarchy ... XML, (abstract, section 1.1)

Abounnaga does not explicitly indicate "in a column of a table in said database repository, and wherein said SQL query comprises a mechanism for providing all possible paths through said hierarchy to each of said ... resources."

However, Chaudhuri discloses "in a column of a table in said database repository, and wherein said SQL query comprises a mechanism for providing all possible paths through said hierarchy to each of said ... resources" (paragraph [0034],[0035]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounnaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of "in a column of a table in said database repository, and wherein said SQL query comprises a mechanism for providing all possible paths through said hierarchy to each of said ... resources" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 20,

Abounnaga does not explicitly indicate "the step of computing a computational cost comprises computing a computational cost component for one or more predicates,

Art Unit: 2168

from said request, that contain an operator in conjunction with said mechanism acting on said database repository.”

However, Chaudhuri discloses “the step of computing a computational cost comprises computing a computational cost component for one or more predicates, from said request, that contain an operator in conjunction with said mechanism acting on said database repository” (paragraphs [0030],[0034],[0035]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of “the step of computing a computational cost comprises computing a computational cost component for one or more predicates, from said request, that contain an operator in conjunction with said mechanism acting on said database repository” would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 21,

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate “said database repository is part of a relational database management system.”

However, Chaudhuri discloses “said database repository is part of a relational database management system” (paragraph [0028]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of

Art Unit: 2168

“said database repository is part of a relational database management system” would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 22,

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate “a computer-readable medium carrying one or more sequences of instructions which, when executed by one or more processors, causes the one or more processors to perform the method recited in claim 1.”

However, Chaudhuri discloses “a computer-readable medium carrying one or more sequences of instructions which, when executed by one or more processors, causes the one or more processors to perform the method recited in claim 1” (paragraph [0023]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of “a computer-readable medium carrying one or more sequences of instructions which, when executed by one or more processors, causes the one or more processors to perform the method recited in claim 1” would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by having a non-volatile copy of the instructions. This gives the user the advantage of not losing the instructions when the system loses power.

As per claims 23-37,

These claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 22 above, respectively, and are similarly rejected.

As per claim 38, Abounaga teaches

A method comprising the computer-implemented steps of: (see abstract)
gathering, ... , statistics about XML resource; (building statistics for XML data, section 1.2)

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate "by a database management system ... that are stored in a repository of said database management system ... and storing said statistics in said database management system."

However, Chaudhuri discloses "by a database management system ... that are stored in a repository of said database management system ... and storing said statistics in said database management system" (create statistics is a command for building statistics which are stored, paragraph [0028]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of "by a database management system ... that are stored in a repository of said database management system ... and storing said statistics in said database management system" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by improving the quality of execution plans. This gives the user the advantage of faster and more efficient queries.

As per claim 39, Abounaga teaches
the step of storing comprises storing said statistics as XML data type. (section 1.1)

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate "in a schema-based table in said database management system."

However, Chaudhuri discloses "in a schema-based table in said database management system" (paragraph [0034]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of "in a schema-based table in said database management system" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 40, Abounaga teaches
said XML resources are logically organized in a hierarchy of nodes in which each node is either a container or a resource, (section 3.1)

and wherein the step of gathering statistics comprises gathering each of a total number of nodes in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through a specified node, a total number of containers in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node, a total number of nodes in said hierarchy that are

Art Unit: 2168

accessible via a path through said specified node and that are in a level of said hierarchy that is immediately under a level of said specified node, and a total number of containers in said hierarchy that are accessible via a path through said specified node and that are in a level of said hierarchy that is immediately under said level of said specified node. (sections 4 through 4.2)

As per claim 41,

This claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 22 and is similarly rejected.

As per claim 42, Aboulnaga teaches

A method comprising the computer-implemented steps of: (see abstract)
in response to a request for access to one or more XML resources (queries of XML based Internet query processors, section 1.1)

statistics about the structure of a hierarchy in which said one or more XML resources are logically organized; (statistics structure that stores annotated path expressions and information, abstract)

and computing a computational cost associated with each of one or more methods of accessing said one or more XML resources from said ... repository, based on said statistics. (use statistics about past queries to selectivity of future queries, section 1.2; statistics used in estimating the selectivity of future Xpath queries, abstract)

Art Unit: 2168

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate "from a database repository within a database management system, accessing, from said database management system ... database".

However, Chaudhuri discloses "from a database repository within a database management system, accessing, from said database management system ... database" (create statistics is a command for building statistics which are stored, paragraph [0028]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of "from a database repository within a database management system, accessing, from said database management system ... database" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by allowing data to be stored and accessed on a computer. This gives the user the advantage of having a non-volatile source of data.

As per claim 43,

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate "the step of computing a computational cost comprises computing a selectivity value for each of one or more predicates, from said request, that contain operators on said database repository."

However, Chaudhuri discloses "the step of computing a computational cost comprises computing a selectivity value for each of one or more predicates, from said request, that contain operators on said database repository" (paragraphs [0034],[0035]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of “the step of computing a computational cost comprises computing a selectivity value for each of one or more predicates, from said request, that contain operators on said database repository” would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 44, Abounaga teaches

XML. (section 1.1)

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate “the step of computing a computational cost comprises computing a computational cost of traversing, to locate particular ... resources specified in said request, an index in which said ... resources are indexed to said database repository.”

However, Chaudhuri discloses “the step of computing a computational cost comprises computing a computational cost of traversing, to locate particular ... resources specified in said request, an index in which said ... resources are indexed to said database repository” (paragraph [0030],[0034]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of “the step of computing a computational cost comprises computing a computational cost of traversing, to locate particular ... resources specified in said request, an index in

Art Unit: 2168

which said ... resources are indexed to said database repository” would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 45, Abounaga teaches

XML. (section 1.1)

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate “the step of computing a computational cost comprises (a) computing a selectivity value for each of one or more predicates, from said request, that contain operators on said database repository and (b) computing a computational cost of traversing, to locate a particular ... resource specified in said request, an index in which said ... resources are indexed to said database repository.”

However, Chaudhuri discloses “the step of computing a computational cost comprises (a) computing a selectivity value for each of one or more predicates, from said request, that contain operators on said database repository and (b) computing a computational cost of traversing, to locate a particular ... resource specified in said request, an index in which said ... resources are indexed to said database repository” (paragraphs [0030],[0034],[0035]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of “the step of computing a computational cost comprises (a) computing a selectivity value for each of one or more predicates, from said request, that contain operators on said

Art Unit: 2168

database repository and (b) computing a computational cost of traversing, to locate a particular ... resource specified in said request, an index in which said ... resources are indexed to said database repository" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by tracking query statistics on a database system. This gives the user the advantage of generating more efficient queries.

As per claim 46,

this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 22 and is similarly rejected.

As per claim 47, Aboulnaga teaches

A database system comprising: (see abstract)

an XML data repository; (XML-based Internet, section 1.1)

and a query optimizer that receives a ... query and, in response to said query, formulates a query execution plan based on computational costs of access paths associated with XML data stored in said repository, (use statistics about past queries to selectivity of future queries, section 1.2; statistics used in estimating the selectivity of future Xpath queries, abstract)

wherein said computational costs are based on statistics about an organizational structure of said XML data. (statistics structure that stores annotated path expressions and information, abstract)

Abounaga does not explicitly indicate "within a relational database management system ... database"

However, Chaudhuri discloses "within a relational database management system ... database" (database system, paragraph [0030]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Abounaga and Chaudhuri because using the steps of "within a relational database management system ... database" would have given those skilled in the art the tools to improve the invention by allowing data to be stored and accessed on a computer. This gives the user the advantage of having a non-volatile source of data.

As per claim 48,

This claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record, listed on form PTO-892, and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay A. Morrison whose telephone number is (571) 272-7112. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

Art Unit: 2168

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Vo can be reached on (571) 272-3642. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



TIM VO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Jay Morrison
TC2100

Tim Vo
TC2100

